



# ETHNOBOTANICAL DOCUMENTATION OF PLANTS WITH INSECTICIDAL AND PESTICIDAL POTENTIAL IN SATPUDA REGION, NORTH MAHARASHTRA, INDIA

**D. A. Dhale<sup>1\*</sup>, D. R. Chamle<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Botany, SSVPS's, L.K. Dr. P.R. Ghogrey Science College, Dhule - 424005, (M.S.), India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Botany, Sharada Mahavidyalaya (Art's and Science), Parbhani - 431401 (M.S.), India.

\*Corresponding Author

## ABSTRACT

The growing environmental and health risks associated with synthetic pesticides have created an urgent need for sustainable, eco-friendly alternatives. Ethnobotanical knowledge provides valuable insights into plant-based pest control strategies that have been developed through generations of empirical observation. This study documents ethnobotanically significant plant species used for insecticidal and pesticidal purposes in North Maharashtra, India. Field surveys conducted between 2022 and 2024 across the Jalgaon, Dhule and Nandurbar districts recorded 80 plant species belonging to 40 families. The dominant families were Fabaceae, Euphorbiaceae, Lamiaceae and Asteraceae. Leaves were the most commonly used plant part, followed by seeds, bark and roots. These plants serve as repellents, fumigants, larvicides and grain protectants. The findings demonstrate that traditional plant-based pest control practices represent an important resource for developing biodegradable and environmentally safe pesticides. Scientific validation, phytochemical characterization and formulation development are essential for integrating these plants into modern pest management systems.

**KEYWORDS:** Ethnobotany, Botanical Pesticides, Indigenous Knowledge, Pest Management, Sustainable Agriculture, North Maharashtra

## INTRODUCTION

Plants have been a vital resource for medicine, agriculture, and pest control since ancient times. Archaeological and ethnobotanical evidence suggests that indigenous communities worldwide have utilized plant-based remedies for thousands of years [1]. In India, traditional knowledge systems such as Ayurveda and folk medicine document numerous plant species with pesticidal and medicinal properties [2].

Modern agriculture heavily relies on synthetic pesticides to enhance crop productivity and control insect pests. However, excessive use of these chemicals has led to environmental contamination, pesticide resistance, and adverse health effects in both humans and animals [3]. Persistent pesticide residues have been detected in soil, water, and agricultural products, posing serious ecological and public health concerns [4].

Botanical pesticides, derived from plants, offer a safer alternative due to their biodegradability, low toxicity to non-target organisms and reduced environmental persistence [5]. Plant-derived compounds such as alkaloids, terpenoids, flavonoids and phenolics exhibit insecticidal, repellent and growth-inhibitory effects against pests [6]. For example, neem-based products have shown effective pest control with minimal ecological impact [7].

Ethnobotanical documentation plays a crucial role in identifying plant species with pesticidal potential and in preserving traditional knowledge. Despite the rich biodiversity of North Maharashtra, there is limited scientific documentation regarding plant-based pest control practices in this region. Therefore, this study aims to document ethnobotanically important pesticidal plants and evaluate their potential role in sustainable pest management.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 1. Study Area

The study was conducted in the Satpuda region of North Maharashtra, including Jalgaon, Dhule and Nandurbar districts. This region is characterized by tropical dry deciduous vegetation, moderate rainfall and diverse tribal populations possessing rich ethnobotanical knowledge.

**Table 1. Description of Study Area**

| District  | Latitude | Climate           | Dominant Vegetation  | Communities        |
|-----------|----------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Jalgaon   | 20.99°N  | Semi-arid         | Dry deciduous forest | Bhil, Pawra        |
| Dhule     | 20.90°N  | Tropical          | Mixed forest         | Bhil, Kokna        |
| Nandurbar | 21.37°N  | Moderate rainfall | Dense forest         | Tribal communities |

## 2. Data Collection

Field surveys were conducted between 2022 and 2024 using structured questionnaires and personal interviews. Informants included farmers, tribal healers, and elderly villagers with knowledge of traditional pest control practices.

Information recorded included: Local plant name, Scientific name, Plant family, Plant part used, Method of preparation and Pest control application.

## 3. Plant Collection and Identification

Plant specimens were collected and processed using standard herbarium techniques [8]. Identification was confirmed using regional floras and botanical references [9,10]. Voucher specimens were preserved in the herbarium of the Department of Botany.

## RESULTS

### 1. Diversity of Pesticidal Plants

A total of 80 plant species belonging to 40 families were documented.

**Table 1: Plants used as insecticides/ Pesticides**

| Sr. No. | Botanical name                              | Family           |
|---------|---|------------------|
| 1       | <i>Acorus calamus</i> L.                    | Araceae          |
| 2       | <i>Agave americana</i> L.                   | Agavaceae        |
| 3       | <i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> L.               | Asteraceae       |
| 4       | <i>Albizia lebbek</i> (L.) Bth.             | Mimosaceae       |
| 5       | <i>Albizia procera</i> (Roxb.) Bth.         | Mimosaceae       |
| 6       | <i>Alysicarpus bupleurifolius</i> (L.) DC.  | Fabaceae         |
| 7       | <i>Anacardium occidentale</i> L.            | Anacardiaceae    |
| 8       | <i>Anamirta cocculus</i> (L.) Wight & Arn   | Minispermaceae   |
| 9       | <i>Annona reticulata</i> L.                 | Annonaceae       |
| 10      | <i>Annona squamosa</i> L.                   | Annonaceae       |
| 11      | <i>Arisaema tortuosum</i> Schott.           | Araceae          |
| 12      | <i>Aristolochia bracteolata</i> Retz.       | Aristolochiaceae |
| 13      | <i>Artemisia japonica</i> Thumb.            | Asteraceae       |
| 14      | <i>Artemisia nilagirica</i> (C.B.Cl.) Pamp. | Asteraceae       |
| 15      | <i>Azadirachta indica</i> A.Juss.           | Miliaceae        |
| 16      | <i>Bambusa arundinacea</i> (Retz.)Willd.    | Poaceae          |
| 17      | <i>Blumea eriantha</i> DC.                  | Asteraceae       |
| 18      | <i>Boswellia serrata</i> Roxb. ex.Cotebr.   | Burseraceae      |
| 19      | <i>Brassica campestris</i> (L.) Clapham     | Brassicaceae     |
| 20      | <i>Butea monosperma</i> (Lam.) Taub.        | Fabaceae         |
| 21      | <i>Calotropis procera</i> (Ait.) R. Br.     | Asclepiadaceae   |
| 22      | <i>Cannabis sativa</i> L.                   | Cannabaceae      |
| 23      | <i>Careya arborea</i> Roxb.                 | Lecythidaceae    |
| 24      | <i>Carissa congesta</i> Wight.              | Apocynaceae      |
| 25      | <i>Cassia hirsuta</i> . L.                  | Caesalpinaceae   |
| 26      | <i>Cassytha filiformis</i> L.               | Lauraceae        |



|    |  |                 |
|----|--|-----------------|
| 27 | <i>Catunaregam spinosa</i> (Thumb.) Tivveng          | Rubiaceae       |
| 28 | <i>Cinnamomum camphora</i> (L.) Nees & Ebern.        | Lauraceae       |
| 29 | <i>Citrus limon</i> L.Burn.f.                        | Rutaceae        |
| 30 | <i>Cleistanthus collinus</i> (Roxb.) Bth. ex.Host.f. | Euphorbiaceae   |
| 31 | <i>Commiphora wightii</i> (Arn.) Bhandari.           | Burseraceae     |
| 32 | <i>Corypha umbraculifera</i> L.                      | Arecaceae       |
| 33 | <i>Croton roxburghii</i> Balakr.                     | Euphorbiaceae   |
| 34 | <i>Cucumis melo</i> L.                               | Cucurbitaceae   |
| 35 | <i>Cucumis sativus</i> L.                            | Cucurbitaceae   |
| 36 | <i>Curcuma longa</i> L.                              | Zingiberaceae   |
| 37 | <i>Cuscuta reflexa</i> Roxb.                         | Cuscutaceae     |
| 38 | <i>Cymbopogon nardus</i> (L.) Rendle.                | Gavaticaha      |
| 39 | <i>Derris scandens</i> (Roxb.) Bth.                  | Fabaceae        |
| 40 | <i>Derris trifoliata</i> Lour.                       | Fabaceae        |
| 41 | <i>Dioscorea hispida</i> Dennst.                     | Dioscoreaceae   |
| 42 | <i>Duranta erecta</i> L.                             | Verbenaceae     |
| 43 | <i>Euphorbia antiquorum</i> L.                       | Euphorbiaceae   |
| 44 | <i>Euphorbia dracunculoides</i> Lam.                 | Euphorbiaceae   |
| 45 | <i>Euphorbia thymifolia</i> L.                       | Euphorbiaceae   |
| 46 | <i>Fioria vitifolia</i> (L.) Mattei.                 | Malvaceae       |
| 47 | <i>Gloriosa superba</i> L.                           | Liliaceae       |
| 48 | <i>Haldina cordifolia</i> (Roxb.) Ridsd              | Rubiaceae       |
| 49 | <i>Hardwickia binata</i> Roxb.                       | Caesalpiniaceae |
| 50 | <i>Harpullia arborea</i> (Blanco) Radlk              | Sapindaceae     |
| 51 | <i>Holarrhena pubescens</i> (Buch-Ham) Wall.         | Apocynaceae     |
| 52 | <i>Hyptis suaveolens</i> (L.) Poit.                  | Lamiaceae       |
| 53 | <i>Kalanchoe integra</i> (Medik)O. Kize.             | Crassulaceae    |
| 54 | <i>Lagenandra ovata</i> (L.) Thw.                    | Araceae         |
| 55 | <i>Lavendula bipinnata</i> O. Ktze                   | Lamiaceae       |
| 56 | <i>Lavendula lawii</i> Wight.                        | Lamiaceae       |
| 57 | <i>Madhuca langifolia</i> (Koen.) Mac.               | Sapotaceae      |
| 58 | <i>Madhuka latifolia</i> (Roxb.) Chev.               | Sapotaceae      |
| 59 | <i>Melaleuca leucadendron</i> (L.) L.                | Myrtaceae       |
| 60 | <i>Melia azadarach</i> L.                            | Miliaceae       |
| 61 | <i>Millettia extensa</i> (Bth.) Baker.               | Fabaceae        |
| 62 | <i>Mimosa pudica</i> L.                              | Mimosaceae      |
| 63 | <i>Mundulea sericea</i> (Willd.) A. Chev.            | Fabaceae        |
| 64 | <i>Nigela sativa</i> (Roxb.) DC.                     | Ranunculaceae   |
| 65 | <i>Ocimum americanum</i> L.                          | Lamiaceae       |
| 66 | <i>Ocimum tenuiflorum</i> L.                         | Lamiaceae       |
| 67 | <i>Ougeinia oojeinensis</i> (Roxb.) Hochr.           | Fabaceae        |
| 68 | <i>Peganum harmala</i> L.                            | Zygophyllaceae  |
| 69 | <i>Pongamia pinnata</i> (L.) Pierre.                 | Fabaceae        |
| 70 | <i>Ricinus communis</i> L.                           | Euphorbiaceae   |
| 71 | <i>Ruta chalepensis</i> L.                           | Rutaceae        |
| 72 | <i>Sarcostemma viminalis</i> (L.) R.Br.              | Asclepiadaceae  |



|    |   |                 |
|----|---|-----------------|
| 73 | <i>Stephania japonica</i> (Thumb)Miers    | Minisparmiaceae |
| 74 | <i>Strychnos nuxvomica</i> . L            | Loganiaceae     |
| 75 | <i>Tephrosia purpurea</i> (L.) Pers.      | Fabaceae        |
| 76 | <i>Trachylobium ammi</i> . (L.) Sprague   | Apiaceae        |
| 77 | <i>Trigonella foenum-graecum</i> L.       | Fabaceae        |
| 78 | <i>Vernonia anthelminticum</i> (L.) Wild. | Asteraceae      |
| 79 | <i>Vitex negundo</i> L.                   | Verbenaceae     |
| 80 | <i>Vitex trifolia</i> L.                  | Verbenaceae     |

**Table 3. Dominant Plant Families and Number of Species**

| Family        | Number of Species |
|---------------|-------------------|
| Fabaceae      | 10                |
| Euphorbiaceae | 6                 |
| Lamiaceae     | 5                 |
| Asteraceae    | 5                 |
| Others        | 56                |

Fabaceae was the dominant family, followed by Euphorbiaceae and Lamiaceae.

## 2. Plant Parts Used

Leaves were the most commonly used plant part.

**Table 4. Plant Parts Used in Pest Control**

| Plant Part  | Percentage (%) |
|-------------|----------------|
| Leaves      | 42             |
| Seeds       | 18             |
| Bark        | 12             |
| Roots       | 10             |
| Whole plant | 9              |
| Others      | 8              |

## 3. Commonly Used Pesticidal Plants

**Table 5. Selected Plant Species with Pesticidal Uses**

| Scientific Name           | Family    | Plant Part    | Use                |
|---------------------------|-----------|---------------|--------------------|
| <i>Azadirachta indica</i> | Meliaceae | Leaves, seeds | Insecticide        |
| <i>Vitex negundo</i>      | Lamiaceae | Leaves        | Mosquito repellent |
| <i>Pongamia pinnata</i>   | Fabaceae  | Seeds         | Grain protectant   |
| <i>Ocimum tenuiflorum</i> | Lamiaceae | Leaves        | Insect repellent   |
| <i>Cymbopogon nardus</i>  | Poaceae   | Leaves        | Mosquito repellent |

## DISCUSSION

The current study confirms that indigenous communities in North Maharashtra have extensive knowledge of using plants for pest control. The prevalence of the Fabaceae and Lamiaceae families underscores their ecological and phytochemical significance in pest management.

Plants produce bioactive secondary metabolites, such as terpenoids, alkaloids and flavonoids, which serve as natural defense compounds against herbivores and insects [6]. These compounds exhibit insecticidal, repellent and growth-regulating properties. For example, neem (*Azadirachta indica*) is one of the most widely used botanical pesticides due to the presence of azadirachtin, which disrupts insect growth and feeding behavior [7]. Additionally, essential oil-producing plants, such as those from the *Ocimum* and *Cymbopogon* genera, demonstrate strong insect-repellent activity.



Botanical pesticides offer several advantages over synthetic pesticides, including:

1. Biodegradability
2. Reduced environmental toxicity
3. Lower risk of resistance development
4. Safety to non-target organisms

These findings support previous studies that emphasize the importance of ethnobotanical knowledge in sustainable agriculture [5,11].

## CONCLUSION

This ethnobotanical investigation documented 80 plant species utilized for pesticidal purposes in the Satpuda region of North Maharashtra. Traditional knowledge serves as a valuable resource for developing eco-friendly pest management solutions. Botanical pesticides present sustainable alternatives to synthetic chemicals due to their biodegradability, safety, and effectiveness. However, further research is needed to:

1. Validate pesticidal activity
2. Identify active compounds
3. Develop standardized formulations
4. Conduct safety and toxicity studies

Integrating ethnobotanical knowledge with modern scientific research can contribute significantly to sustainable agriculture and environmental conservation.

## REFERENCES

1. Solecki, R. S. (1975). *Evidence of prehistoric plant use. Science.*
2. Jain, S. K. (1995). *Ethnobotany and research methods. Today and Tomorrow Publishers.*
3. Pimentel, D. (2005). *Environmental and economic costs of pesticide use. Bioscience, 55: 229 - 238.*
4. Nag, S. K. and Raikwar, M. K. (2011). *Persistent pesticide residues in the environment. Environ. Monit Assess. 174: 327 - 335.*
5. Isman, M. B. (2006). *Botanical insecticides and their applications. Annu. Rev. Entomol., 51: 45 - 66.*
6. Wink, M. (2010). *Plant secondary metabolites and pest control. Phytochemistry, 72: 1455 - 1465.*
7. Schmutterer, H. (1990). *Properties and potential of neem insecticides. Annu. Rev. Entomol., 35: 271 - 297.*
8. Jain, S. K. and Rao, R. R. (1977). *Handbook of field and herbarium methods. Today and Tomorrow Publishers.*
9. Singh, N. P. and Karthikeyan, S. (2000). *Flora of Maharashtra State. Botanical Survey of India.*
10. Patil, D. A. (2003). *Flora of Dhule and Nandurbar districts. Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh.*
11. Dhale, D. A. (2013). *Plants Used for insect and pest control in North Maharashtra, India. The Journal of Ethnobiology and Traditional Medicine. Photon., 118: 379 - 388.*